

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/819,592	03/27/2001	. r	Steven Larky	CYPR-C00158	3488	
759	90 06/07/2004	1		EXAM	INER	
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP				OSMAN, RAMY M		
Third Floor						
Two North Marl	ket Street			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
San Jose, CA	95113			2157		
				DATE MAILED: 06/07/200-	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	())
	09/819,592	LARKY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Ramy M Osman	2157	
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence addre)ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. 1 ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of the property period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC by statute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for		atters, prosecution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice u		•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applied 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction.	vithdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex		a haadha Earanin an	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		-	
Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	***	• •	1 121/d\
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in he priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- 		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· · · · /	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chrabaszez (US Patent No 6,073,133) in view of Meister et al. (US Patent No 6,671,718).
- 3. In reference to claim 1, Chrabaszez teaches a method of sending an electronic message comprising the steps of:
 - a) examining syntactic content of said electronic message;
 - b) comparing said syntactic content to a first database for a first match;
- e) alerting said sender that said electronic message does not have an attachment, based on said first match.

Chrabaszez discloses sending an email message which comprises ensuring the inclusion of an attachment to be included with the email by comparing the syntactic content of an email message with a database of keywords, and alerting a user that the email does not have an attachment, based on the comparison and the determination that one of the keywords is present in the email message – for the purpose of saving the sender from potential embarrassment of forgetting to include an attachment (Abstract, Summary, column 3 lines 23-67, column 5 lines 15-60 and column 7 lines 5-44).

Application/Control Number: 09/819,592

Art Unit: 2157

Chrabaszez fails to explicitly teach:

c) examining said addressed destination of said message to determine an identity of an

intended addressee; and

d) comparing said identity of said intended addressee to a second database for a second

Page 3

match; and

e) alerting said sender that said electronic message does not have an attachment, based on

said first match and said second match.

However, Meister teaches sending an email message which comprises verifying the

correctness of addressees in the email by comparing addressee to a database for a match, and

alerting the user based on the comparison (Abstract, Summary, column 2 lines 50-67, column 4

lines 18-55 and column 5 lines 1-37).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Chrabaszez by

also comparing the intended addressee with a second database as per the teachings of Meister so

that a user can be alerted that an email does not have an attachment, based on the first and second

comparisons and the determination that certain keywords and addressees are present in the email

message – for the purpose of saving the sender from potential embarrassment of forgetting to

include an attachment.

4. Claims 2-20 do not define any new limitations above the teachings of claim 1 and are

therefore rejected for the above mentioned reasons.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramy M Osman whose telephone number is (703) 305-8050. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (703) 305-7562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RMO May 25, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100